

Forest Rights Act, 2006

Posted at: 03/04/2025

Forest Rights Act, 2006: Supreme Court Review and Tribal Concerns

Context

05

- The Supreme Court is set to hear a case on the Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006, on April 2, 2025.
- Adivasi rights groups and environmental organizations have urged the Tribal Affairs Ministry to defend the law.
- The case has **significant implications for tribal communities**, who depend on forests for their **livelihood**, **culture**, **and identity**.

Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006: An Overview

• Officially called the **Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers** (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006.

• Enacted to **legally recognize the rights of tribal and forest-dwelling communities** over their traditional lands and forest resources.

• Seeks to **correct historical injustices** where forest dwellers were **denied land rights** under colonial and post-independence laws.

Key Provisions of the FRA

1. Recognition of Forest Rights

• Individual Forest Rights (IFR) - Provides land titles to individuals for cultivation and

habitation.

- Community Forest Rights (CFR) Grants communities the right to use, manage, and conserve forest resources.
- 2. Role of Gram Sabha
 - Gram Sabha (village council) is the primary authority to initiate, verify, and approve claims.
 - Ensures a **democratic and decentralized** decision-making process.
- **3. Conservation and Livelihood Balance**
 - Empowers tribal communities to participate in **forest conservation** while securing their **livelihood rights**.
 - Recognizes the role of **traditional knowledge** in sustainable forest management.

Legal Challenges to FRA

- 1. Supreme Court Case (2008-Present)
 - In **2008**, the NGO **Wildlife First** challenged the FRA in the **Supreme Court**, arguing it led to **forest encroachments**.

In **2019**, the **SC ordered the eviction** of those whose claims were rejected.

• Following protests, the Tribal Affairs Ministry intervened, highlighting procedural flaws in rejections.

• The SC stayed the eviction order and directed states to review rejected claims.

Current Concerns in FRA Implementation

1. Improper Review of Rejected Claims

- Many states conducted arbitrary reviews, leading to mass rejections of claims.
- 2. Undermining of Gram Sabhas
 - Gram Sabhas, which are meant to **approve land claims**, were **ignored** in many cases.
 - Example: In Gujarat, 98% of claims were approved by Gram Sabhas, but only 62% were accepted by higher authorities like the Sub-Divisional Level Committee (SDLC).
- **3. Over-Reliance on Satellite Imagery**
 - States like **Gujarat and Madhya Pradesh** used **satellite images** instead of **ground verification** to assess claims.
 - Led to wrongful rejections, as satellite data fails to capture historical land use patterns.
 - The **Tribal Affairs Ministry** warned against **misuse of technology**, emphasizing that FRA allows **various forms of evidence**, including **community records and historical proof**.

Conclusion

- The FRA is crucial for protecting tribal rights and ensuring forest dwellers' access to resources.
- However, implementation challenges remain, including arbitrary rejections, sidelining of Gram Sabhas, and improper use of technology.
- The upcoming Supreme Court hearing will be a crucial test for the government's commitment to tribal welfare and democratic governance.