

# Forest Rights Act, 2006

Posted at: 03/04/2025

Forest Rights Act, 2006: Supreme Court Review and Tribal Concerns

## Context

- The Supreme Court is set to hear a case on the Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006, on April 2, 2025.
- Adivasi rights groups and environmental organizations have urged the Tribal Affairs
  Ministry to defend the law.
- The case has **significant implications for tribal communities**, who depend on forests for their **livelihood**, **culture**, **and identity**.

# Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006: An Overview

- Officially called the Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006.
- Enacted to legally recognize the rights of tribal and forest-dwelling communities over their traditional lands and forest resources.
- Seeks to correct historical injustices where forest dwellers were denied land rights under colonial and post-independence laws.

# **Key Provisions of the FRA**

- 1. Recognition of Forest Rights
  - Individual Forest Rights (IFR) Provides land titles to individuals for cultivation and

#### habitation.

• Community Forest Rights (CFR) - Grants communities the right to use, manage, and conserve forest resources.

#### 2. Role of Gram Sabha

- **Gram Sabha (village council)** is the **primary authority** to initiate, verify, and approve claims.
- Ensures a democratic and decentralized decision-making process.

#### 3. Conservation and Livelihood Balance

- Empowers tribal communities to participate in forest conservation while securing their livelihood rights.
- Recognizes the role of **traditional knowledge** in sustainable forest management.

# **Legal Challenges to FRA**

- 1. Supreme Court Case (2008-Present)
  - In **2008**, the NGO **Wildlife First** challenged the FRA in the **Supreme Court**, arguing it led to **forest encroachments**.
  - In **2019**, the **SC** ordered the eviction of those whose claims were rejected.
  - Following protests, the **Tribal Affairs Ministry intervened**, highlighting **procedural flaws** in rejections.
  - The SC stayed the eviction order and directed states to review rejected claims.

# **Current Concerns in FRA Implementation**

1. Improper Review of Rejected Claims

• Many states conducted **arbitrary reviews**, leading to **mass rejections** of claims.

#### 2. Undermining of Gram Sabhas

- Gram Sabhas, which are meant to **approve land claims**, were **ignored** in many cases.
- Example: In Gujarat, 98% of claims were approved by Gram Sabhas, but only 62% were accepted by higher authorities like the Sub-Divisional Level Committee (SDLC).

## 3. Over-Reliance on Satellite Imagery

- States like Gujarat and Madhya Pradesh used satellite images instead of ground verification to assess claims.
- Led to wrongful rejections, as satellite data fails to capture historical land use patterns.
- The **Tribal Affairs Ministry** warned against **misuse of technology**, emphasizing that FRA allows **various forms of evidence**, including **community records and historical proof**.

#### Conclusion

- The FRA is crucial for protecting tribal rights and ensuring forest dwellers' access to resources.
- However, implementation challenges remain, including arbitrary rejections, sidelining of Gram Sabhas, and improper use of technology.
- The upcoming Supreme Court hearing will be a crucial test for the government's commitment to tribal welfare and democratic governance.