

India's Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC)

Posted at: 07/06/2025

India's Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC): Eight Years of Impact and Challenges

Introduction

Enacted in **2016**, the **Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC)** marked a significant reform in India's approach to corporate debt resolution. It aimed to streamline the fragmented legal framework, ensure **time-bound recovery**, reverse the borrower-friendly regime, and strengthen **credit discipline**. After eight years, the IBC has shown tangible successes but also faces critical implementation challenges.

Pre-IBC Scenario

Prior to 2016, India's insolvency framework was fragmented across laws like **SARFAESI**, **DRTs**, and **Company Law Board**, leading to **delayed litigation** and **low recovery rates**. The IBC consolidated these into a single mechanism under the **NCLT**, targeting resolution within **330 days**.

Key Achievements of the IBC

 Recovery and Resolutions: As of March 2025, the IBC helped resolve 1,194 companies, enabling creditors to recover ₹3.89 lakh crore, with a 32.8% recovery rate.

• Dominant Recovery Tool:

It contributed **48% of total bank recoveries in FY 2023-24**, outperforming SARFAESI (32%), DRTs (17%), and Lok Adalats (3%).

• Asset Value Realisation:

Resolution plans fetched **93.41% of fair value** and **170% of liquidation value**, indicating better outcomes.

• Deterrent Impact:

Around **30,310 cases**, worth **₹13.78 lakh crore**, were settled before admission—reflecting improved borrower behaviour.

• Improved Credit Discipline:

Gross NPAs fell from **11.2% (March 2018)** to **2.8% (March 2024)**. The IBC also helped improve credit terms and corporate governance.

Structural Strengths

- Focus on Resolution: Prioritizes reviving distressed firms over liquidation, preserving jobs and economic value.
- Creditor-Driven Mechanism: The Committee of Creditors (CoC) makes key decisions, shifting power from defaulters to lenders.
- Boost in Out-of-Court Settlements: The credible threat of insolvency encourages early settlement, reducing judicial burden.

Persistent Challenges

• Judicial Delays:

Over **78% of ongoing cases** exceed the 270-day deadline due to **NCLT backlogs**, affecting timely resolution.

• Legal Uncertainty:

The **Bhushan Power and Steel** verdict (SC invalidating an approved plan) has raised concerns over post-resolution finality and investor confidence.

• High Haircuts:

Despite higher recoveries, average **haircuts remain around 67%**, requiring better valuation and bidding processes.

• Adapting to New Economy:

The framework still lacks clarity on **IP-heavy firms**, **startups**, and **digital businesses**, highlighting the need for updated norms.

Way Forward

To enhance the IBC's effectiveness:

- Expand and digitize NCLT infrastructure.
- Safeguard **commercial decisions** from excessive judicial intervention.
- Promote **pre-pack insolvency** for MSMEs and fast-track resolutions.
- Codify rules for tech, IP, and employee dues to match changing economic models.

Conclusion

The IBC has transformed India's insolvency framework by instilling **discipline**, enabling better recoveries, and becoming a credible resolution tool. However, to remain relevant and impactful, it must evolve through **institutional strengthening**, **legal clarity**, and **sector-specific reforms**, ensuring it supports India's ambition of becoming a **\$5 trillion economy**.

INNN!

