

Populism vs. Good Governance

Posted at: 07/03/2025

Populism vs. Good Governance: The Shift from Democracy to 'Emocracy'

Context

- The Vice President of India, Shri Jagdeep Dhankhar, has called for a national debate on the increasing role of emotions in policymaking.
- He warned against the shift from democracy to 'Emocracy', where emotion-driven
 policies and debates could threaten good governance.
- He emphasized that **populism** often leads to **economic crises** and that governance must prioritize the **long-term welfare of the people** over short-term popularity.

Understanding Populism and Good Governance

What is Populism?

Populism is a **political ideology** that claims to represent the **common people** against a **privileged elite**.

Key Characteristics:

- Direct appeal to the masses, bypassing institutions.
- Charismatic leadership with strong public engagement.
- Anti-establishment rhetoric, portraying elites as corrupt.
- Simplistic solutions to complex governance challenges.

Types of Populism:

- Left-Wing Populism: Focuses on wealth redistribution and state intervention (e.g., Hugo Chávez in Venezuela).
- Right-Wing Populism: Emphasizes nationalism, cultural identity, and antiimmigration policies (e.g., Donald Trump, European right-wing parties).

What is Good Governance?

Good governance ensures an accountable, transparent, and participatory system that

effectively delivers public welfare.

Key Features (As per UNDP):

- Transparency: Decision-making is open, and information is accessible.
- Accountability: Public institutions are answerable to citizens.
- Rule of Law: Legal frameworks are fair and impartially enforced.
- Inclusiveness: Equal participation of all groups, including marginalized communities.
- Effectiveness and Efficiency: Resources are used optimally for sustainable development.

Populism vs. Good Governance: The Conflict and Challenges

1. Short-Term Popularity vs. Long-Term Institutional Strengthening

- Populist policies focus on immediate voter satisfaction rather than sustainable reforms.
- Example: Loan waivers and free subsidies may win elections but weaken the financial stability of a nation.

2. Erosion of Institutional Autonomy

- Populist leaders often try to control independent institutions like the judiciary, media, and regulatory bodies.
- This weakens checks and balances, leading to a concentration of power.

3. Fiscal Populism: Economic Burden

- Excessive subsidies and unsustainable welfare schemes increase fiscal deficits and cause economic instability.
- Example: Many countries that relied on populist spending faced debt crises and high inflation.

4. Undermining Expertise and Bureaucracy

- Populist leaders may reject expert advice, preferring emotion-driven decisions.
- This results in poor policy choices that fail to address real economic and social challenges.

Positive Aspects of Populism in Governance

- Increased Political Participation: Populist leaders engage the public and raise awareness about governance issues.
- Focus on Marginalized Groups: Many populist movements highlight social injustices and push for welfare policies.
- Policy Innovation: Populist pressure sometimes leads to the introduction of progressive reforms.

However, without institutional safeguards, populism can lead to long-term governance failures.

Way Forward: Balancing Populism and Good Governance

- Institutional Checks and Balances: Strengthening judiciary, Election Commission, and regulatory bodies to ensure executive accountability.
- Evidence-Based Policymaking: Policies should be backed by data and expert recommendations, not public sentiment alone.
- Strengthening Democratic Norms: Safeguarding media freedom, civil society engagement, and parliamentary debate.
- Fiscal Responsibility: Welfare programs should be financially sustainable to prevent economic instability.
- Public Awareness: Educating citizens on the long-term impact of populist policies to promote informed decision-making.

Conclusion

Populism can mobilize political engagement and bring attention to neglected issues, but it must be balanced with good governance to ensure stability, institutional integrity, and economic sustainability. The shift towards 'Emocracy', where emotion-driven policies dominate governance, could undermine democratic principles and weaken long-term policymaking. India must focus on rational, evidence-based governance that serves the larger and lasting good of society.

